

International Journal of Mass Spectrometry 206 (2001) 7–12



# The use of proton-transfer reactions to detect low levels of impurities in bulk oxygen using an atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometer

## S.N. Ketkar\*, A.D. Scott, Jr., E.J. Hunter

*Air Products and Chemicals, 7201 Hamilton Boulevard, Allentown, PA 18195*

Received 11 July 2000; accepted 30 August 2000

#### **Abstract**

Atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometry (APIMS) is being routinely used to quantify trace impurities in bulk gases used in the manufacture of semiconductor devices. APIMS has been successfully applied for the quantification of ppt levels of  $O_2$ , H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> in Ar, N<sub>2</sub>, and He. However, it has not been successfully used to quantify trace impurities in bulk  $O_2$  because of the low ionization potential of  $O_2$ . APIMS relies on charge-transfer reaction between the ions of the bulk gas molecules and impurity molecules. As all the relevant impurity molecules have ionization potentials higher than that of O<sub>2</sub>, APIMS has not been used to analyze for impurities in  $O_2$ . A recent publication describes the use of a clustering reaction, as opposed to a charge-transfer reaction, to detect trace levels of  $H_2O$  in bulk  $O_2$ . Clustering reactions have not been successfully used to detect  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $CH_4$  in bulk  $O_2$  because the relevant cluster ions are very weak and are declustered in the low-pressure declustering region normally used in an APIMS. O<sub>2</sub> has a relatively low proton affinity, and protonated oxygen is expected to undergo proton transfer reactions with  $CO_2$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $CH_4$ . We report the use of  $H_2$ , as a doping gas, in the source of an APIMS to facilitate the protonation of trace impurities in  $O_2$ . For safety considerations, a buffer gas like He or Ar has to be added to keep the concentration of  $H<sub>2</sub>$  below the lower explosion limit (LEL). Our results indicate a statistical limit of detection of 0.5 ppb for CH<sub>4</sub>, 0.3 ppb for CO<sub>2</sub>, and 1.2 ppb for N<sub>2</sub>. (Int J Mass Spectrom 206 (2001) 7–12)  $\odot$  2001 Elsevier Science B.V.

### **1. Introduction**

Atmospheric pressure ionization mass spectrometry (APIMS) is being routinely used to quantify sub-ppb level impurities in inert gases being used in semiconductor processing. This technique is capable of achieving detection limits in the ppt range for  $O_2$ ,  $H_2O$ , CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, Ar, and He [1]. In the ionization source of an APIMS, a corona discharge

operating at atmospheric pressure is used to create the primary ions of the gas being sampled. At such a high pressure, the mean free path is small and ion molecule reactions play a key role in ionizing the trace impurity atoms/molecules present in the sample gas. For impurities that can undergo fast charge-transfer reactions (rates of the order of  $10^{-9}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/sec) with the sample gas ions, APIMS can be used very effectively. This includes  $O_2$ , H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> in bulk N<sub>2</sub>, Ar, and He. If the sample gas is  $H_2$ , proton transfer reactions play a key role in the secondary ionization mecha-\* Corresponding author. E-mail: ketkarsn@apci.com **a** ism. In this case, the primary ion is  $H_3^+$ , and impurity

<sup>1387-3806/01/\$20.00 © 2001</sup> Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved *PII* S1387-3806(00)00373-0



Fig. 1. Schematic of the gas inlet system. BPR, back pressure regulator; V1 and V2 are pneumatically actuated valves. A low-flow alarm on  $O_2$  or a high-flow alarm on  $H_2$  or a high  $O_2$  concentration alarm will shut off V1 and V2.

molecules that can undergo fast proton transfer reactions can be detected as the protonated ion. Impurities that can be detected include  $N_2$ ,  $H_2O$ ,  $CO_2$ , and CO in H<sub>2</sub>. APIMS has not been successfully used to analyze for trace impurities in bulk  $O<sub>2</sub>$  because of the fact that  $O<sub>2</sub>$  has a fairly low ionization potential, and consequently,  $O_2^+$  cannot undergo charge transfer reactions with impurities of interest to the semiconductor industry. A recent publication reports the use of a clustering reaction to determine ppt levels of  $H_2O$  in  $O_2$  [2]. Although  $N_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , and  $CH_4$  will also undergo clustering reactions with  $O_2^+$  and  $O_4^+$ , these clusters are very weakly bound and, consequently, have not been successfully used to determine these impurities in  $O_2$ .

Oxygen has a very low proton affinity (100.9 kcal/mol), and the protonated oxygen molecule  $(O<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>)$  will undergo proton transfer reactions with impurity molecules with higher proton affinity.  $N_2$ (118.2 kcal/mol),  $CO_2$  (130.9 kcal/mol),  $CH_4$  (132 kcal/mol), and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (166.5 kcal/mol) will successfully undergo a proton-transfer reaction with protonated oxygen  $(O_2H^+)$  [3]. We report here the use of proton-transfer reactions to detect low levels of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and N<sub>2</sub> in O<sub>2</sub> by using hydrogen as a dopant to facilitate such reactions.

#### **2. Experimental**

A VG APIMS coupled to an Air Products dilution system was used for the experiments. The APIMS uses a single quadrupole mass spectrometer coupled to a corona discharge source operating at atmospheric pressure. The dilution system uses dynamic dilution and is capable of generating dilution ratios as high as 10000 ; 1 [4]. Hydrogen and oxygen will form an explosive mixture and, to keep the hydrogen concentration below the lower explosion limit (LEL), an inert gas like Ar or He was used as a buffer gas. Fig. 1 shows a schematic of the inlet system used to introduce the sample gas  $(O_2)$ , the dopant gas  $(H_2)$ , and the buffer gas (Ar or He) into the source of the APIMS. Hydrogen was purified using a molecular



Figure  $2(a)$ 



Figure 2(b)

Fig. 2. (*a*) Spectrum of 15%  $O_2$  in purified Ar, (*b*) Spectrum of 0.17%  $H_2$ , 13%  $O_2$  in Ar.



Fig. 3. Change in the intensities at  $m/z = 45$  (CO<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>),  $m/z = 17$  (CH<sub>4</sub>H<sup>+</sup>), and  $m/z = 29$  (N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>) as a function of the change in the concentrations of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ , and N<sub>2</sub>. The numbers indicate the concentration, in ppb, of the respective impurities.

sieve trap operating at liquid nitrogen temperatures, whereas the argon was purified using a heated getter purifier. Double-check valves were used to prevent  $O<sub>2</sub>$ from back diffusing into either the heated getter purifier or the molecular sieve trap. Safety features were incorporated into the system to automatically shut down the flow of  $O_2$  and  $H_2$  in case the  $O_2$ concentration exceeded 20% or the  $H<sub>2</sub>$  concentration exceeded 4% of the total flow.

#### **3. Results and discussion**

Fig. 2a shows the APIMS spectrum of a mixture of 13% purified  $O_2$  in purified Ar. The only peak visible is the  $O_2^+$  peak at  $m/z = 32$ . As soon as the H<sub>2</sub> was added to this mixture, the peak at  $m/z = 19$  (H<sub>3</sub>O<sup>+</sup>) appeared, indicating that  $H_2O$  is being produced in the source of the APIMS from a reaction of  $H_2$  and  $O_2$ . Fig. 2b shows the APIMS spectrum of a mixture of



Fig. 4. Calibration curve for  $CO_2$  at  $m/z = 45$  ( $CO_2H^+$ ).

Table 1 Calibration parameters using weighted least-squares analysis

Impurity	Intercept cps	Slope cps/ppb	<b>LOD</b> ppb
CO <sub>2</sub>	457	298	0.3
$N_2$	413	86	1.2
CH <sub>4</sub>	67	73	0.5

 $0.17\%$  H<sub>2</sub>, 13% O<sub>2</sub> in purified Ar. The major peak is still  $O_2^+$  at  $m/z = 32$ . In addition to the peak at  $m/z =$ 19, there is a peak at  $m/z = 41$  (ArH<sup>+</sup>) and a peak at  $m/z = 34$ . Although there is an O<sub>2</sub> isotope (<sup>16</sup>O<sup>18</sup>O<sup>+</sup>) at  $m/z = 34$ , it can not account for the intensity of the observed peak. The following reactions can occur in the ionization source to produce the observed peaks:

$$
Ar + e^{-} \rightarrow Ar^{+} + 2e^{-},
$$
  
\n
$$
Ar^{+} + Ar + Ar \rightarrow Ar_{2}^{+} + Ar,
$$
  
\n
$$
Ar_{2}^{-} + O_{2} \rightarrow O_{2}^{+} + 2Ar,
$$
  
\n
$$
Ar_{2}^{+} + H_{2} \rightarrow ArH^{+} + H,
$$
  
\n
$$
ArH^{+} + H_{2} \rightarrow H_{3}^{+} + Ar,
$$
  
\n
$$
O_{2} + H + H \rightarrow HO_{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
H_{3}^{+} + HO_{2} \rightarrow H_{2}O_{2}^{+} + Ar,
$$

$$
ArH^+ + H_2O \rightarrow H_3O^+ + Ar.
$$

The peak at  $m/z = 3$  (H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>) is not shown in the spectrum because of the inability of the mass spectrometer to resolve the peak at  $m/z = 3$  from the on blast. In spite of the presence of a large peak at  $m/z =$ 19 ( $H_3O^+$ ), the ArH<sup>+</sup> peak is still present. As ArH<sup>+</sup> can easily undergo proton transfer reaction with  $H_2$ ,  $H_3^+$  should also be present in the ionization source. Thus, proton transfer reactions should play a key role in ionizing impurities present in the source. Fig. 3 shows the change in the intensities at  $m/z = 45$  $(CO<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>)$ ,  $m/z = 17$  (CH<sub>4</sub>H<sup>+</sup>), and  $m/z = 29$  (N<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>) as a function of the change in the concentrations of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>.

Fig. 4 shows the calibration curve for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . As can be seen from this figure, the variance increases with increasing concentration, indicating that a weighted linear model, with a weight inversely proportional to the variance, is the appropriate calibration model. Table 1 shows the statistical parameters obtained, using a weighted linear model, for the detection of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , N<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> in O<sub>2</sub>.

The statistical limit of detection is  $\leq 1$  ppb for CH<sub>4</sub> and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and slightly  $>1$  ppb for N<sub>2</sub>. To ascertain that the statistically derived LODs (based on a weighted linear model) were meaningful, low levels of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ 



Fig. 5. Change in the response of the mass spectrometer at  $m/z = 45$  (CO<sub>2</sub>H<sup>+</sup>) for changes of 0.4 ppb in the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

were added to the  $O_2$  stream. Fig. 5 shows the response of the mass spectrometer at  $m/z = 45$ . As can be seen from the figure, changes in the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ concentration of 0.4 ppb can be easily distinguished by the instrument.

#### **4. Conclusion**

This work shows that by adding small amounts of  $H<sub>2</sub>$  to a mixture of Ar and  $O<sub>2</sub>$ , APIMS can be used to detect low levels of impurities in oxygen. Even though the presence of  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  in the discharge region produces  $H_2O$ , it is possible to detect low-level impurities using proton transfer reactions. We have also used He as the buffer gas to obtain similar performance. If the ionization and the reaction regions are separated, as reported by Irie et al. [5], it should be

possible to avoid the production of  $H_2O$ . A mixture of Ar and  $H_2$  can be used in the discharge region, whereas  $O_2$  can be added to the interaction region. In such a scheme, it should also be possible to detect low levels of H<sub>2</sub>O in O<sub>2</sub> at  $m/z = 19$ .

#### **References**

- [1] K. Siefering, H. Berger, W. Whitlock, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A, 11 (1994) 4.
- [2] S.N. Ketkar, A.D. Scott, E.J. Hunter, Anal. Chem. 70 (1998) 1802.
- [3] S.G. Lias, J.F. Liebman, R.D. Levin, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 13 (1984) 695.
- [4] S.N. Ketkar, A.D. Scott, J.V. Martinez de Pinillos, J. Electrochem. Soc. 141 (1994) 184.
- [5] T. Irie, Y. Mitsui, S. Ijima, K. Mizokami, K. Kuriyama, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 34 (1995) 350.